☐ This





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

| SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE | FIRST NAMEO APPLICA | NT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 07/944 505 09/14/22 2011 | ING | 4 25 \$95±6: |
| г | ٦ | EXAMINER |
| AMOCO CORPORATION | | CHAMBERS, S |
| PATENTS AND LICENSING DEPT | M.C. 1907A | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |
| 200 EAST RANDOLFH DRIVE P. O. BOX 87703 | | ,,,,,, 3 |
| P. U. BUX 87783 CHICAGO. IL 60680-0703 | | DATE MAILED: |
| This is a communication from the examiner in charge of | your application | 11/05/92 |
| COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND | | |
| shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to e- illure to respond within the period for response will cause the ap- rt I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF T | plication to become abandoned. HIS ACTION: | |
| Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1- | 4. Notice of in | atent Drawing, PTO-948. formal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 |
| rt II SUMMARY OF ACTION | | |
| 1. ⊠ Claims 1-24 | | are pending in the application. |
| _ | | |
| Of the above, claims | | withdrawn from consideration. |
| 2. Claims | | have been cancelled. |
| 3. Claims | | are allowed. |
| 4. 反 Claims 1-23 | | are rejected. |
| S. Claims | | are objected to. |
| 6. Claims | ai | re subject to restriction or election requirement, |
| 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings | which are acceptable for examin | ation purposes until such time as allowable subject |
| matter is indicated. | | |
| 8. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal | drawings are required in respons | se to this Office action. |
| The corrected or substitute drawings have been received not acceptable (see explanation). The corrected or substitute drawings have been received not acceptable (see explanation). | d on | . These drawings are acceptable; |
| 10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the pr | oposed additional or substitute s | heet(s) of drawings, filed on |
| has (have) been approved by the examiner d | sapproved by the examiner (see | explanation). |
| 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed | ing changes. It is now applicant | ved disapproved (see explanation). However's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are on the attached letter "INFORMATION ON HOW |
| 12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority unde | | |
| been filed in parent application, serial no | | |
| Since this application appears to be in condition for a accordance with the oractice under Ex parte Quayle, | lowance except for formal matter 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | s, prosecution as to the merits is closed in |
| 14. Other | | |

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 7 - 82)

Serie) Number 07/914,505 Art Unit 1807

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has manner. To acc in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group 180. Art Unit 1807.

Examiner noise that in <u>B.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. v. Ceus Cotp.</u> 19 applyed 1174 at 1185 (N.D.Ca. 1991), the court indicated that grant proposals to the N.H. and N.F. were prior art due to the requirements of the Freedom of information actions $45.0 \, \mathrm{FR} \cdot 851$ at seq. and $80.1 \, \mathrm{cm} \cdot 840$. This may be of some interest to application in satisfying 37 C.F.R. 155.

Applicants are requested to look over the specification and correct any minor errors.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 12 in

 Claims 1-23, drawn to a method of nucleic acid amplification, classified in Class 435, subclass 6 and 91.

 Claim 24, drawn to an apparatus and measuring device, dassified in class 435, subclass 291 & 293.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the practice or claimed on he practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by hand as pointed out in the disclosure.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized discretal subject matter and different classification, as well as the fact that the search required for group it is not required for group II, restriction for examination but twees es indicated is proper.

Serial Number 07,944,505 Art Unit 1807

Puring a delephone conversation with Norval B. Salloway on November 1994, a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-23. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in responding to this Office action. Claim 24 is withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Applicants have indicated that the instant application is a continuation of 07/644,057 which is a continuation of 07/136,920. In 19th parents, Group I was elected.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a nonelected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.43(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. S.112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the Latinal makes which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 and others recite "support capable of specifically associating with the target under binding conditions" which is vague and indefinite unchonal language describing a chemical molety by what it does rather than we what if is structurally; therefore it is impossible to know what is and what is not claimed. Claim 6 recites "probe" which is vague and indefinite: do applicants intend a specific nucleic acid sequence which will probe through hybridization or is something else intended? Claim 6 also is phrased in functional language. Claim 10 recites "transcriptase" which is vague and indefinite: was "reverse transcriptose" contemplated? Claim 11 and others recite "non-specific oligonucleotide primer" which is vague and indefinite. claim it cand others recite "substantially separating" which is vague and indefinite. Claim 21 recites "capable of binding to a retrievable support" which is vague and indefinite functional language. The claims also recite "retrievable support" but it is not dear what support would not be respievable thus it is confusing. It also recites "reagents adapted to be applied to said removal product" which is vague and indefinite. Claim 22

Joseph Augustian (NT) Jan (195) Services (196)

cann beam 19 ence at depends on claim 23) refer to the method of down 210 but thinh 21 is a bit fitting corresponding to various compositions of matter. But a nutrico daim. This makes claims 20 and 23 confusing claim λ_2 reduce to appear on unreading with a magnetic field. Which is vague and indefinite in light of the known ability of any carbon, nitrogen, or hydrogen containing comprised to interest with a magnetic field (e.g. IMMS) if finit over which applies the set describing.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

a patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically basicional or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the pito art are such that the subject matter as whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a preson having ordinary that in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Satentability shall not be negationed by the mention in the invention 175 made.

interest matter severified by another person, which qualities as prior art only under subsection of and og of section 10 of this unter small of a more personal properties that before which either which either and the control interest and the control interest were at the time the invention was made overed. Of the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Channe 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being until stentable over Mullis when taken with any one of Moss et al., Clabinsky or Engelhardt et al. and taken further in view of Panki et al. or Josephson or Schröder if necessary.

The primary reference teaches DNA amplification and point out the great value of this mestico for improved sensitivity as well as improved ability to isolate opening mideotido sequences. The primary references do not specifically teach nucleic acid affinity chromatography prior to the mutantication reaction. The secondary references all teach the well known instead of ariming chromatography, both with nucleic acid stracked to a negligible formatography, both with nucleic acid stracked to a negligible formation of the nucleic acid seguing blothin-avidin). The resonant references sea, if the value of affinity chromatography in its ability to isolate specific

Lorest Number 97/9-4,595 Art Omt 1897

nycleotine equences and remove unwanted sequences which would interfer suith later userulness if the sequences. The secondary references closeleach the greater efficiency of hybridization and improved sensitivity of an atmost purified sample compares to a non-purified sample (e.g. Moss et al. 1978; i) arthough this fact would be well known to one of ordinary still in the art. It would be control for one of ordinary still in the art. It would be control for one of ordinary still in the art. It would be control for one of ordinary still in the art. It would be control for one of ordinary still in the art. It would be control for one of ordinary still in the art. It would be controlled in the secondary references which show improved existing to purply a sequence and improved sensitivity since the methods are all directed to the same result and one of ordinary still would expect an improvement in results.

In regard to claims directed to association with a "probe": it is not clear what applicants mean by this language (see supra), however, it appears to be the well known method of sanowich hybridization (see Banki et al., this reference has not been provided it was provided in previous Office Actions on the perent case and it is sessimed that applicants are familiar with it) with halou claims increased sensitivity and greater ability to isolate specific to the property of the second of the second runar applicants mean by this language (see supra); however, it appears that applicants are samply referring to the well known method of random primer poly meridation which is used to tabel probes. This method is well known not only as an emicient method or making a second copy (into which labeled enclactides can be added) but is also more efficient than using a single primer. One of ordinary skill in the art would have known this technique and would have been motivated to use it since it makes a second strand overeion combine the compet of copies to be ambified. In regards to the use of a "bead capable of interacting with a magnetic field" it is not clear That applicants mean by this language (see supral) however, it appears to be and this can meth. I of pass, been and Schroder for magnetic separations netermines and a most part their provided. They were provided in previous other actions on the parent case and it is assumed that applicants are familiar than them.) In regards to the full claims it would have been obvious to the old stillnary said in the art to package all of the components in a kit our the convenience of practitioners of the method

forms Number 07/844,505 artifact 1807

To darrily this rejection, it is examiner's position that applicants simply continued the weblandern method of motions and amplication with the equation weblandern method of affirmity chromatography to produce a result that the result is not been expected and both sufficient motivation to make the continuous. The supplication in which would have been grinted and continuous at the time of the investion to one of ordinary such in the art.

Examiner notes that Wood et al., Noyes et al., and Jith et al., which were supplied in previous Office Actions, are merely cumulative to the reachings of Moss et al., Stabinsky and Engelhardt et al. Mullis et al. and Mullis et al. (ref. R.) are merely cumulative to Mullis.

No claim is allowed.

This is a commutation of applicant's earlier application S.N. "This is a commission of could be seen in the same investigation and could have been finally rejected on the grounds or art in earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds or art in earlier and the nearlier extra bit have that been entired in the earlier applicance on discrept Table Actions IS Made Final even thought is there extra it this case. Fee IMPS 7460 OTES, Applicant is remained of the increasing discrept the shortened statutory period an additional month application of time of a timely inter response to a final rejection has been assentance by the Office. See 1021 TM00 55.

A CHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS COT TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHLE ROOM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING FALLED AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED ONLY. AFTER THE SINGOP THE THREE MONTHS SHORTENED STATUTORY SERIOD, THEN THE SUDDIEMED CTATUTORY PERIOD, WILL EXPIRE ON THE LATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENDING TESMINED AND LATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION IN BLUE & CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING FARE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN SO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY

Series Number 07 944,505 Agt Dest 1807

DERION FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

examiner has recipromised copies of any of the reference: often in this office action because they were provided in earlier Office Actions on the parent care.

An inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Scott A. Chambers, Ph.D. at telephone number 703-308-3085.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 180 by facstimits transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 180 via the PTO Fax Cente. Located in Gystat Mail 1. The faiting of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette. 1046-04 30 (November 15, 1990). The CMT Fax Center number is 7003-306-4227.

Scott A. Chambers Patent Examiner Art Unit 1807

MARGARET MOSKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 180

| (RE | | | | | U.S | . OI | PAR T AN | TMENT OF COM | MERCE OFFICE | SERIAL NO. | 4.505 | ROUPART | | ATTA | HMENT TO PER MBER | - |
|-----|-----|----|-----|------|------|------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| | | | | | | ec | ENIC | ES CITED | | APPLICANT | | 176 | | NU | MBER | 1 |
| | | NU | ICE | UF | nt f | cH | ENC | ESCIED | | | K. A | | | | | |
| _ | _ | | _ | | _ | | _ | | U.S. PATE | NT DOCUM | IENTS | | | | | |
| · | 1 | | DDC | UMEN | 17 N | 0. | | DATE | | NAME | | CLASS | ci | UB- .ASS | APPRO | DATE IF |
| 4 | 1 | 4. | 1 7 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 0 | June 1/87 | Josep | cheon | | 436 | 5 | 26 | June | 28/83 |
| 4 | В | 4 | 4 7 | 2/ | 0 | 5 | 8 | Sep 11/84 | Am | the | tal | 436 | 51 | 8 | | |
| y. | c | 4 | 48 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 7 | Day/81 | Ron | hiet | al | 436 | بحر | 24 | | |
| 1 | 止 | 4 | 1 8 | 17 | 7 | 4 | 8 | Aug 18/87 | Sel | röder | | 436 | 5: | 26 | Men | 23/13 |
| 1 | E | 4/ | z | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7 | June 14/88 | ta | tinsk | , | 435 | 6 | | lune | 13/85 |
| V | F | 46 | 58 | 7 3 | 1 | 9 | 5 | July 20/87 | Mal | liget | al | 435 | 6 | | None | 128 |
| ٧ | G | 1 | 8 | 3 | 2 | b | z | July 28/87 | Make | lis_ | | 425 | 9 | / | Mar | ak zs |
| | н | 1 | | L | L | L | Ľ | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | ١ | | | | L | L | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | ١ | | | | L | L | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ĸ | | 1 | | L | | | | | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| | | | | | _ | | | FC | DREIGN PA | ATENT OOC | UMENTS | | | | | |
| · | | | 000 | UME | NT N | ю. | | DATE | cou | NTRY | NAME | c | LASS | CLAS | S SH | TS. PP. |
| И | ١, | 0 | 09 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 04/01/84 | EP | 7 | Engelh | ent | 435 | 6 | | |
| | м | | 1 | L | | | | , , . | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | N | 1 | | | | | L | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | P | | | Ι | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ٥ | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |)TH | HEF | RE | FERENCES | (Including | Author, T | itle, Date, Per | tinent Pa | ges, E | tc.) | | |
| Ţ | R | 1 | 1/4 | lle | | t | z/ | Colds | pins | Herli | n Symp | 200 | - | m a | Quan | /_ |
| 1 | *[| | Bij | Į. | 5, | 5 | 11 | 981): Gld | Jain | Horle | Press | CSH | Ñ. | 1. A | 26 | 3-73 |
| 4. | 5 | Ž | 100 | u | e I | 1 | 1 | Downs | ralal | Bist | Che. | 256 | | | 5-50 | r(198 |
| 7 | 54 | _ | 4 | [il | 1 | 1 | al | Bioc | Ramin | to | 3(16): | 3 411- | 18 | 197 | | |
| 4 | , [| 1 | 1/2 | va | - | | i | tal. | Cell | 5 | 301-11 | (197 | (ک | | | |
| | 1 | 7 | - | | | _ | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | u | V | 00 | d | , | ,7 | Z | el (| aun | al or | l Biol | Cho | K 7 | | | |
| 1 | 1 | _ | 2 | 2 5 | z | : | 4 | 57-6 | | 77 | | | | | | |
| ΧA | MIN | ER | _ | سد | - | _ | | DATE | 1.11- | . T | | | | | | |
| _ | 4 | - | | 4 | 4 | - | 1 | - 1/ | 14/9 | 2 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | • | Α(| cop See | y of this refere Manual of Pat | ent Exam | t being furr ining Proce | nished with the dure, section | rs office : 707.05 (| action a).) | 1. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Ø |
|--------|
| U1 |
| Ų, |
| W |
| vÖ. |
| |
| ijì. |
| |
| 8 |
| ٥ |
| - |
| |
| O N |
| |
| |

| | PTO FORM 948 (Rev 5-91) |
|---|----------------------------|
| ı | |

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

ATTACHMENT TO PAPER NUMBER

NOTICE OF DRAFTSMAN'S PATENT DRAWING REVIEW

| are so ovoc. | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 60 Oaltow. The example of oak require submission of new drawings must be submitted according to the instructions |
| 1. Paper and ink. 37 CFR 84(a) | 5. harding and Stading, 37 CER 1.84(d) |
| Poer Quality Pager Aust 66 White. | Comparison of Pagarett |
| Transparent Pages Not someo | (f) (100) |
| | error and the second search of the Allewed. |
| | P\$274 |
| s. Size c. Silees and Margins. 37 CFR 1.64(b) | _ |
| Acceptable Paper Sizes and Margins | Onsible Line Hatching Not Allowed. |
| Paper Size | Fig(z) |
| Margin 14 inches 13 inches 21 ov 29.7 cm. | Parts in Section Must be Hatched |
| Margin 14 inches 13 inches 21 oy 29.7 cm. | Properly, Fig(s) |
| Left 1/4 inch 1/4 nch 2.5 cm | / rioperly. rig(s) |
| Right 1/4 inch 1/4 nch 1.5 cm. | 6. Reference Characters, 37 CFR 1.84(f) |
| Bottom 1/4 inch 1/4 inch 1 0 cm. | Heference Characters Poor or Bough |
| | and Blurred. Fig(s) 4.46 |
| Froger Size Paper no united | |
| Sneets Must be Some Size. | for morem, 1/8 most (3.5° 75m.) in height |
| wife, | 34 |
| | The second of west west |
| Tuper Margins Rugur (2) | Transmission Transmission Books |
| Sheet(s) 0 - 0 | |
| Top C Right | 7. Views, 51 CF3 (340) & (j) |
| Left L dottom | L_ Inguies Must be Numbered Separately. |
| | |
| 3. Character of Lines. 37 CFR 1.84(c) | Figures Must Not be Connected |
| Lines Pale, Rough agd Blurred, or | Fig/s) |
| Jagged, Fig(s) 4 - 6 | |
| Calid Black Charles Not Allegard | 8. Identification of Disverge, 37 CFR 1.84(i) Extransous Matter or Copy Machine |
| Solid Black Shading Not Allowed. | Marks Not Allowed. Fig(s) |
| Fig(s) | marks not Anowed. 119(5) |
| 4. Photographs Not Approved. | 9. Changes Not Completed from Prior |
| AND AND AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS O | PTU-S48 dated |
| Comments: | |
| _ Comments: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Reviewing Draftsinan